

Further Submission

This is a further submission on the Proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan that was lodge online.

Further Submitters Name	John Caygill
Further Submitter Number	FS44
Wish to be heard	No
FS qualifier	a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
FS qualifier reason	---because my submission concerns changes to the TTPP sought by TiGa Minerals that are not in the public interest and therefore should be challenged by any concerned member of the public.
Joint presentation	No
Attention:	John Caygill
Contact organisation	
Address for service	17 Palmerston Street Greymouth, West Coast 7805
Telephone	03 768 4704
Mobile	027 768 4704
Email	muircaygill@xtra.co.nz
Date lodged	25/05/2023 3:52pm

Further submission points

Raw FS number	Related Submission Point	FS Decision requested	SupportOppose	Reasons
FS44.1	S493.001	Disallow	Oppose	Presumes a privileged position in relation to the Plan.

FS44.2	S493.045	Disallow	Oppose	The so-called 'protection' afforded by public conservation land status cannot be presumed, particularly given unresolved issues around the reclassification of stewardship land.
FS44.3	S493.001	Disallow	Oppose	Presumes a privileged position in relation to the Plan.
FS44.4	S493.052	Disallow	Oppose	'mangement' is too open-ended/non-specific, when the clear intent should continue to be to restrict and minimise damage.
FS44.5	S493.055	Disallow	Oppose	The provision is important in the protection of coastal character.
FS44.6	S493.065	Disallow	Oppose	These areas are too important for healthy ecosystem functioning to jeopardise in this way
FS44.7	S493.096	Disallow	Oppose	'Manage' is too open-ended, when clearly the intent should be to restrict or minimise.

FS44.8	S493.101	Disallow	Oppose	'managing' is too open-ended a term, given that the intent is to restrict/minimise.
FS44.9	S493.109	Disallow	Oppose	Designating a minerals exploration and proposed mining area as a minerals extraction zone is presuming that the proposed activities (yet to be approved) will be allowed, and that existing activities on the land are of lesser importance than future mining. Each application to mine should be assessed and decided on its own merits, not boosted by the contentious and unnecessary notion of a 'minerals extraction zone'.

FS44.10	S493.112	Disallow	Oppose	Recognising the presence of a potential mineral resource does not entail that its extraction is economically, socially, and environmentally desirable. Each case for mining needs to be decided strictly on its own merits, not boosted by presumptive zoning labels such as 'minerals extraction zone'.
FS44.11	S493.108	Disallow	Oppose	Presumes that further mining should be enabled by the 'minerals extraction zone' concept. This prejudices the issue, which should be decided on an individual case-by-case basis.
FS44.12	S493.062	Disallow	Oppose	If the natural landscape /feature is indeed outstanding, then any proposed earthworks, including for mineral extraction and prospecting activities, should be subject to the rules in this section of the Plan.